

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/802,563	03/17/2004	Hong Yu Yu	NUS03-001	3494
7590 03/18/2005			EXAMINER	
STEPHEN B. ACKERMAN 28 DAVIS AVENUE			DICKEY, THOMAS L	
POUGHKEEPSIE, NY 12603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2826	
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/802,563	YU ET AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Thomas L. Dickey	2826
The l		nication appears on the cover sheet v	with the correspondence address
THE MAILIN - Extensions of tafter SIX (6) M - If the period for If NO period for Failure to reply Any reply recei	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN ime may be available under the provision ONTHS from the mailing date of this corr reply specified above is less than thirty or reply is specified above, the maximum s within the set or extended period for rep	ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may an nmunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
tus			
1)⊠ Respo	nsive to communication(s) fil	led on <u>23 <i>Jun</i>e 2004</u> .	
a)∐ This a	ction is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since	this application is in condition	n for allowance except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed	in accordance with the prac	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
position of (Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the	application.	•
4a) Of	the above claim(s) is/	are withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim	s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim	s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim	s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim	s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.	
olication Pa	ers		
9)☐ The sp	ecification is objected to by t	he Examiner.	
10)□ The dra	awing(s) filed on is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applica	nt may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replac	ement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) <u>□</u> The oa	th or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
ority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119		•
	vledgment is made of a claim b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
		y documents have been received.	
2	, , ,	y documents have been received in .	· ·
	•	s of the priority documents have been	n received in this National Stage
	application from the Internati	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
	···	on for a list of the certified copies no	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: ____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/802,563 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 8-27, drawn to a method, classified in class 438, subclass 216.
 - II. Claims 1-7 and 28-34, drawn to a device, classified in class 257, subclass 410.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Group I process invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II product invention, because the Group I process invention could make a materially different device from that of the Group II invention. For example, the processes of claims 8 and 16 could used to make a device having a gate electrode comprising a HfN material in which the ratio of Hf to N is greater than one and having an SiO gate dielectric, a device materially different from the device of claims 1 and 28. In the special cases of claims 13 and 21 only, unpatentability of the Group II product invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II process invention, because the product of the Group II invention could be made

Application/Control Number: 10/802,563

Art Unit: 2826

by a materially different process from the invention by claims 13 and 21. For example, the product of claim 1 could be made by a process which does not include a step of adjusting the work function of the gate electrode, a process materially different from the processes of claim 13 and 21.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

2. Should Applicant elect invention II, Applicant is advised that this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of invention II: a first embodiment, shown in figure 3, and a second embodiment, shown in figure 4.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/802,563

Art Unit: 2826

added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas L Dickey whose telephone number is 571-272-1913. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8-6.

Application/Control Number: 10/802,563

Art Unit: 2826

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 703-308-6601. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas L. Dickey Patent Examiner Art Unit 2826 03/05